

# INTRODUCTION

#### BACKGROUND

In recent years, empirical research has increasingly validated the benefits of meditation, linking it to improvements in attention, emotional regulation, and overall well-being. Recent imaging research has identified regions of the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) as playing a critical role in emotional and cognitive regulation (Prakash et al., 2013; Brewer et al., 2011; Hölzel et al., 2007). These studies have suggested differing patterns of activation in regards to the effect of mindfulness on neural correlates, but they have not demonstrated a consolidated activation pattern as a result of mindfulness and acceptance in response to pain.

#### QUESTION

We used a novel enhanced mindfulness strategy that combines pain education, imaginal exposure, mindfulness, reappraisal, and habituation for boosting psychological pain analgesia.

We initially hypothesized that the enhanced-acceptance strategy would show decreased activation in the PCC, and increased activation in the mPFC.

# **METHODS**

#### PARTICIPANTS

n = 8 participants, mean age = 39 years

#### **SCAN & ANALYSIS PARAMETERS**

- EPI BOLD imaging on 3T Siemens Magnetom Prisma (TR = 1000 ms, 2.5 × 2.5 × 2.5 mm isotropic voxels, 240 mm FoV, 96 x 96 matrix, 90° flip angle)

- Pre-processing and 1st level analysis with SPM2

- Second-level analysis was conducted using CANIab's neuroimaging tools from Dartmouth College, implemented in MATLAB (R2019b)

#### STIMULI

Thermal stimulus with a Peltier thermode at painful heat intensities repetitively for 12-13 seconds for 8 runs over two sessions (days)

#### **TRIAL STRUCTURE**



Conditions 'Experience' and 'Regulate' are assigned interchangeably to the two sessions, with no fixed order. Site A and Site B are randomly assigned to either the left face or one of the following: Right Face, Right Arm, Left Arm, Chest, Abdomen, or Right Leg.

#### **ANALYSIS PATHWAY**

## Step 1: Creation of Linear Models to Investigate Pain Intensity

Variations Created linear models to explore individual and interactive effects of experimental conditions on pain intensity, revealing significant variability across subjects and conditions, visualized through detailed heatmaps.

#### Step 2: Group-Level Analysis of Pain Intensity Ratings Visualizations demonstrate that pain regulation strategies effectively reduce pain from 'Experience' to 'Regulate' across various body sites.

Step 3: Whole Brain Robust Regression Voxelwise Analysis at the

**Group Level** Performed a robust voxelwise analysis of whole brain data to identify neural correlates of pain regulation, contrasting 'Regulate' with 'Experience' conditions, excluding Subject 3 due to anomalous data. The analysis was conducted at an uncorrected threshold of p < 0.05 and FDR threshold of q < 0.05.

#### Step 4: Comparative Analysis of NPS and SIIPS1

Conducted a robust analysis to compare brain activity under 'Experience' and 'Regulate' conditions against established pain signatures (NPS and SIIPS1). Utilizing cosine similarity metrics, the study assessed how closely brain responses aligned with the typical pain response pattern

#### **Step 1: Creation of Linear Models to Investigate Pain Intensity Variations**

-3.5	sub-01
- 0.90	sub-03
3.2	sub-04
0.7	sub-05
-5.3	sub-06
-0.6	sub-07
2.2	sub-08
-5.2	sub-09
O a sa dii	

	8
2.1	sub-01
0.4	sub-03
1.4	sub-04
- 0.1	sub-05
- 0.9	sub-06
0.4	sub-07
- 0.7	sub-08
1.3	sub-09
Run Nu	2

#### Step 3: Whole Brain Robust Regression Voxelwise Analysis at the Group Level







# Using a Deep-Phenotyping Approach to Test the Efficacy of an Enhanced Acceptance-based Mindfulness Strategy for Pain Across **Multiple Body Sites**

# Sreekar Kasturi<sup>1</sup>, Michael Sun<sup>1</sup>, Malka Hershkop<sup>1</sup>, and Tor D. Wager<sup>1</sup> **Department of Psychology and Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College**

rimental Condition - Effect Siz 1.02 0.71 0.29 0.85 0.07 0.23 -0.83 0.93 0.27 0.09 5.56 -0.46 0.84

Pain Intensity Rating ~ Run Number \* Condition

Regulation Effects - Effect Sizes

-2.22

-0.33

-1.48

0.29

-0.77

0.33

0.04

-1.63

Run Number x Condition

Conditio

Pain Intensity Rating ~ Session Number \* Body Si odysite Effects - Effect Sizes -0.50 sub-01 0.71 -0.90 0.52 -0.50 sub-03 0.29 sub-04 1.18 0.85 -0.70 0.07 -0.80 0.23 sub-05 5.38 sub-06 3.03 2.80 0.69 -0.31 0.80 sub-07 -0.46 -2.26 sub-08 0.46 sub-09 -1.47

Body Site

Session Number

Pain Intensity Rating ~ Run Number \* Session Number

Session Number x Body

Time Effects - Effect Sizes					
sub-01	-5.80	1.05	-2.22	0.	
sub-03 -	-1.14	0.24	0.33	0.	
sub-04	-5.19	0.74	-1.48	0.	
sub-05 -	-0.62	0.32	0.29	0.	
sub-06	4.35	0.58	0.77	0.	
sub-07 -	1.31	0.63	-0.33	0.	
sub-08 -	-1.11	0.73	-0.04	0.	
sub-09 -	6.19	0.55	1.63	0.	
_	Session Number	Run Number	Session Number x Run Number	R	

0.31

0.89

0.21

0.85

0.50

0.50

0.92

**Robust whole-brain voxelwise regression (Uncorrected threshold at** *p* < 0.05)

mPFC

<u>Robust whole-brain voxelwise regression (FDR corrected threshold at *q* < 0.05)</u>



Positive effects were observed in the left somatomotor operculum, while negative effects were noted in the **right auditory association cortex** and the right posterior cingulate cortex.

# RESULTS

#### Step 2: Group-Level Analysis of Pain Intensity Ratings



Group-level pain intensity ratings showed that contrasting the 'Experience' and 'Regulate' conditions resulted in overall pain reductions of 40.0152% (p = 0.0264), with specific decreases at the left face by 40.4881% (p = 0.0179), and at other body sites by 39.2516% (p = 0.0438); inclusion of Subject 3 still showed decreases across all conditions, albeit with slightly higher p-values of 0.055 for the overall, 0.0401 for the left face, and 0.0823 for other body sites.

#### Step 4: Comparative Analysis of NPS and SIIPS1



SIIPS1 (p = 7.8707e-09); however, individual contrasts at the Left Face (p = 0.9259) and other sites (p = 0.5387) were not significant. It is the difference between the two conditions that aligns with the linear



## **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

- The significant reduction in pain intensity under the 'Regulate' condition illustrates the efficacy of cognitive strategies in managing pain, a finding corroborated across various body sites. Different body sites exhibited higher pain intensities, indicating the influence of anatomical sites on pain perception.

- The robust voxelwise analysis affirmed the initial hypothesis that the PCC would show negative effects as a result of the implementation of acceptance and mindfulness based strategies. This suggests that mindfulness and regulatory strategies not only modulate sensory pathways but also engage cognitive and emotional circuits, aiding in pain reduction.

- The somatomotor operculum's proximity to areas involved in emotional processing (like the insula and cingulate cortex) may allow it to contribute to the emotional regulation aspects of mindfulness and acceptance strategies.

- Active regulation can modulate the typical neural coding of pain, reducing the standard pain signature's presence in key pain-processing areas.









The 'Regulate' condition significantly increased the cosine similarity to the NPS signature (**p** = 0.0147), but did not significantly affect the cosine similarity to SIIPS1.



Compared to the SIIPS1 signature, the 'Regulate' condition demonstrated a decrease in cosine similarity in the **left** hippocampal/parahippocampal complex (p = 0.0273) relative to the 'Experience' condition, although it is uncertain if this p-value will withstand Bonferroni correction.

## REFERENCES

Hölzel, B. K., Ott, U., Hempel, H., Hackl, A., Wolf, K., Stark, R., & Vaitl, D. (2007). Differential engagement of anterior cingulate and adjacent medial frontal cortex in adept meditators and non-meditators. Neuroscience letters, 421(1), 16–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2007.04.074

Brewer, J. A., Worhunsky, P. D., Gray, J. R., Tang, Y. Y., Weber, J., & Kober, H. (2011). Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity and connectivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108(50), 20254–20259. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112029108

Woo, C. W., Schmidt, L., Krishnan, A., Jepma, M., Roy, M., Lindquist, M. A., Atlas, L. Y., & Wager, T. D. (2017). Quantifying cerebral contributions to pain beyond nociception. Nature communications, 8, 14211. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14211

Shaurya Prakash, R., De Leon, A. A., Klatt, M., Malarkey, W., & Patterson, B. (2013). Mindfulness disposition and default-mode network connectivity in older adults. Social cognitive and affective neuroscience, 8(1), 112–117. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nss115